

Figure 1. Location of the proposed State Route 85 Roadway and Drainage Improvements project, Organ Pipe Cactus NM.




Figure 2a. Project detail of the proposed State Route 85 Roadway and Drainage Improvements project, Organ Pipe Cactus NM.




Figure 2b. Project detail of the proposed State Route 85 Roadway and Drainage Improvements project, Organ Pipe Cactus NM, continued.



Figure 3. Historic range of Sonoran pronghorn in the United States and Mexico.




Figure 4. Current Sonoran pronghorn distribution in the United States: Records from 1994-2001.

Appendix 1. Sonoran pronghorn 51 recovery actions as presented to FWS's Region 2 Regional Director by the Sonoran Pronghorn Recovery Team.

Ranking		Sonoran Pronghorn Recovery Actions
Priority	Average	
1	1.00	Maintain active radiocollars on ~10% of the Sonoran pronghorn population for population monitoring and other study purposes
2	1.18	Experimentally place small, portable, temporary waters in occupied habitat during the summer months, and evaluate their use and efficacy
3	1.18	Develop a white paper that addresses the full range of captive breeding alternatives (e.g., capture alternatives; age and sex of wild caught animals; husbandry requirements, herd monitoring, holding facilities, transportation, release criteria, need for predator control, post-release monitoring, and etc.)
4	1.18	Continue biennial , or possibly annual, population survey of the U.S. subpopulation
5	1.18	Continue weekly aerial monitoring of radiocollared pronghorn (i.e., distribution, movements, mortality signals, fawn status, predator presence)
6	1.27	Develop an intensive monitoring program to quantitatively investigate pronghorn use of water tanks (i.e., permanent, semi-permanent, temporary, emergency)
7	1.27	Continue monitoring fawn recruitment while conducting weekly telemetry flights
8	1.27	Implement and monitor experimental forage enhancement project on BMGR
9	1.36	Identify, evaluate, and prioritize potential reintroduction sites in the U.S. and Mexico
10	1.36	Initiate biennial population surveys for the 2 Mexico subpopulations to be timed in conjunction with the U.S. survey
11	1.45	Continue monitoring (and closing as needed) of military targets, relative to pronghorn locations, by contract biologists on NTAC and STAC on BMGR on live fire days
12	1.45	Continue ongoing program of hauling water as needed to permanent tanks in currently occupied pronghorn habitat (e.g., Jose Juan Charco, Halliwill Catchment, etc.) until proposed pronghorn/water investigations are conducted and program can be quantitatively reevaluated
13	1.73	Develop a study looking at seasonal diets (e.g., fecal analysis)
14	1.73	Continue restrictions on types of use in important pronghorn habitat during critical periods of the year (e.g., OPCNM periodic seasonal closure of Pozo Nuevo Road; CPNWR closure to public use of Chico Shunie Loop Road, Marine use of certain ground sites on BMGR)
15	1.73	Contract with a population geneticist or American Zoological Association to conduct an analysis of what comprises a minimum population in order to maintain the gene pool and to assess at what point if the U.S. subpopulation continues to decline, all remaining pronghorn should be taken into captivity
16	1.82	Initiate study by AGFD to evaluate effects of Border Patrol helicopter flights on

		pronghorn
17	1.91	Develop study to investigate potential contaminant concerns from military activities on BMGR (e.g., soil/vegetation sampling; blood and tissue samples from captured pronghorn; sampling of other resident wildlife) for baseline data
18	1.91	Continue aggressively investigating and documenting all incidences of mortality (collared and uncollared) and likely causes
19	1.91	Deploy remote data loggers as needed to document use of water sources, travel corridors, and/or foraging areas by radiocollared pronghorn
20	1.91	Initiate AGFD/USAF study to evaluate effects of night missions on pronghorn behavior/activity
21	1.91	Experimentally mark a sample of coyotes with GPS collars to determine behavior and seasonal movements relative to pronghorn locations, free water, rainfall events
22	2.00	Develop a study to monitor/investigate influences of disease and other stressors on pronghorn
23	2.00	Assess effectiveness of current aerial population survey methodology and compare with current literature
24	2.00	Continue law enforcement activities designed to reduce illegal border traffic (e.g., foot and vehicle UDA's, drug smuggling) and as a consequence movement through pronghorn habitat
25	2.09	Investigate Culicoides sp. as a vector source in the transmission of bluetongue and EHD to pronghorn from cattle and other native ungulates
26	2.09	Continue field work by U of A and preparation of vegetation association map for OPCNM, BLM, CPNWR, BMGR
27	2.09	Develop a water balance study (e.g., double-labeling, water deprivation, use of pre-formed/metabolic water in diet) using a surrogate race of captive pronghorn
28	2.09	Expand genetic determinations to include Mexico as opportunity allows (e.g., Peninsular pronghorn and Sonoran subpopulations)
29	2.18	Investigate impacts of helicopters from other program activities (e.g., Marine Corps WTI, other military activities, U.S. Customs Service, other State and Federal management agencies) on pronghorn
30	2.18	Initiate periodic aerial surveys in Mexico at other times of the year than the population census to monitor herd size, composition, distribution, natality, etc.
31	2.18	Investigate effects of public use and other ground-based activity (e.g., military training, ordnance clean-up, law enforcement, land management agency activities such as grazing, firewood cutting, and mining) on pronghorn
32	2.18	Complete AGFD contract with Purdue University to look at taxonomic status using established genetic markers of Sonoran pronghorn relative to other races of pronghorn
33	2.27	Continue to promptly notify CPNWR of all pronghorn mortalities; recovery team leader keeps a file on all reports and maintains a summary table of all mortalities and known facts
34	2.27	Incorporate a habitat assessment component in currently used population survey

		technique to monitor annual change/variation in range condition
35	2.27	Complete range assessment of 4 allotments by the BLM and application of Standards and Guidelines to ensure adequate forage for pronghorn and habitat improvement
36	2.27	Evaluate pronghorn location data relative to available habitat using normalized digital vegetation index and/or other forms of satellite data
37	2.36	Develop a narrowly-defined and rigidly controlled coyote removal plan
38	2.36	Develop study to continue to evaluate water quality at bomb craters that fill with water and are frequented, at least seasonally, by pronghorn
39	2.36	Update the PVA in light of new, more quantified data on various aspects of pronghorn biology and PVA techniques
40	2.36	Evaluate occurrence of bluetongue and EHD in cattle and native ungulate species and their potential to serve as a reservoir for these diseases
41	2.45	Fix highway (e.g., Highway 85, Interstate 8), International Boundary, and other fences to make them pronghorn accessible or pronghorn barriers as determined necessary
42	2.45	Prepare a written protocol for dealing with injured or dead pronghorn including permit authority, agency and veterinarian contact numbers, notification protocol, transportation, housing and/or disposal procedures
43	2.55	Compile extant reports of pronghorn watering (documented and anecdotal), review of literature, and prepare a technical reviewed article
44	2.55	Continue timely coordination with Recovery Team and Phoenix Ecological Services Office on all proposed use changes on Tactical Ranges
45	2.55	Investigate blank spots in current pronghorn range distribution maps (e.g., targeted aerial surveys, remote sensing)
46	2.55	Experimentally provide mineral supplement blocks
47	2.55	Conduct a comprehensive literature review of pronghorn/barrier interactions and wildlife passage devices and designs (to include literature for other ungulate species when appropriate)
48	2.63	Develop a back-up plan in the event of a hoof and mouth outbreak
49	2.7	Construct and staff a Sonoran Desert greenhouse for producing key forage plants for transplanting
50	2.7	Assess all wildlife and livestock waters on 4 BLM allotments as to pronghorn accessibility and/or potential traps
51	2.9	Develop a medical kit with all necessary materials for treatment, salvage, and/or necropsy with description of procedures and handling of biological samples

Each recovery team member assigned a rank of high = 1, medium = 2, or low = 3 to each project. Since there are 51 projects and 3 rankings, exactly 1/3 of the projects were ranked high, medium, or low by individual team members. The assigned rankings were averaged and the lower the score, the higher the priority. In the event of a tie between 2 or more projects, the project with the lowest variance was ranked higher. The theoretical highest and lowest possible rank that can be achieved by a given recovery action is 1.0 and 3.0, respectively.